#### COMSOL Conference 2008 Boston Fourth Annual Conference on Multiphysics Simulation

Renaissance Boston Waterfront October 9-11, 2008 Boston, MA

Analysis of Heat, Mass Transport, & Momentum Transport Effects in Complex Catalyst Shapes for Gas-Phase Heterogeneous Reactions Using COMSOL Multiphysics

Session on Transport Phenomena - October 9, 2008



Anuradha Nagaraj Department of Electrical Engineering & Computer Science

#### Suresh Bikkina and Patrick L. Mills\*

Department of Chemical and Natural Gas Engineering, Texas A&M University - Kingsville Kingsville, Texas USA \*Patrick.Mills@tamuk.edu





# Motivation

 Various catalyst particle shapes are sold commercially for a wide variety of process technologies

6 mm Hollow Cylinders .

1/16-in Tri-lobe Extrudates ..

5 to 30 μm Catalyst Powder Precursor

**12 mm Hollow Cylinders** 

20 mm Hollow Cylinders

•• 6 mm Hollow Cylinders

5 to 30 μm Catalyst Powder Precursor

1/8-in Extrudates

- Preferred shapes are often obtained by empirical methods
- Examine Comsol Multiphysics as a platform for quantifying the effect of catalyst shape on reactor performance

Applications: Theoretical, Practical, and Pedagogical

## **Examples of Catalyst Shapes - 1**





#### **Hollow Cylinder**





#### **Hollow Cylinder**





#### **Grooved Pyramid**





**Tri-lobe** 





**Ribbed, Hollow Cylinder** 





#### **Notched Cube**

J. R. Ebner & R. A. Keppel, Shaped Oxidation Catalyst, US 5,168,090 Monsanto 1992

## Examples of Catalyst Shapes - 2









**Notched Cylinder** 



#### **Grooved Cone**

#### **4-Point Star**

J. R. Ebner & R. A. Keppel, Shaped Oxidation Catalyst, US 5,168,090 Monsanto 1992

#### **Typical Packed Bed Reactor Configurations**

#### Multi-tubular



**Overall Goal:** Maximize activity and selectivity while minimizing pressure drop & cost

#### Adiabatic

 $D_{R} = 5 \text{ to } 50 \text{ ft}$ 

 $H_R > 3 \text{ to } 4 \text{ ft}$ 

**Inert Particles for** 

**Catalyst Particles** 

**Inert Particles for** 

**Catalyst Support** 

Catalyst Discharge

Flange

**Gas Distribution** 



#### **Approaches to Catalyst Particle Modeling**

 Numerous papers on modelling of transport-kinetic interactions in catalyst particles

Rutherford Aris, "On shape factors for irregular particles – I. The steady-state problem. Diffusion & reaction. *Chem. Engng. Sci.* **6**: 262-268 (1957)

#### Variety of solution methods & numerical techniques

Analytical, semi-analytical, finite difference, finite element, method of lines, etc

P. A. Ramachandran, "Boundary integral element method for linear diffusion-reaction problems with discontinuous boundary conditions. *Chem. Eng. J.* **47**: 169 (1991).

#### Some approaches are driven by process applications

J. S. S. Mohammadzadeh and A. Zamaniyan, "Catalyst shape as a design parameter for methane-steam reforming catalyst." Inst Chem Eng (UK), **80** (2002)

 Comsol Multiphysics provides powerful platform for multi-scale modeling and parametric analysis

## **Transport & Reaction in Porous Catalysts**

- Widely studied & analyzed since Thiele's & Aris' classic papers and monograph on the subject
  - E. W. Thiele, "Relation between catalytic activity and size of particle." *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **31**: 916-920 (1939).
  - Rutherford Aris, "On shape factors for irregular particles I. The steady-state problem. Diffusion & reaction. *Chem. Engng. Sci.* **6**: 262-268 (1957).
  - Rutherford Aris, *The Mathematical Theory of Diffusion and Reaction in Permeable Catalysts.* Volume 1 and Volume 2, Oxford: Clarendon Press (1975).

Species Mass Balance  

$$\nabla \bullet \overline{N}_{j} = \nabla \bullet \left(-D_{ej,m} \nabla C_{j}\right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{nr} \upsilon_{ij} r_{i}\right) S_{g} \rho_{g} + Homogeneous pellet$$
Energy Balance  

$$\nabla \bullet \overline{q} = \nabla \bullet \left(-k_{eff} \nabla T\right) = -\left(\sum_{i=1}^{nr} - (\Delta H_{rxn,i}) r_{i}\right) S_{g} \rho_{g}$$
- Homogeneous pellet  
- Effective transport  
coefficients

Note: Other flux and constitutive relations can be used for more realistic solutions

## Case Study: SO<sub>2</sub> Oxidation Catalysts



#### Key Features

• Alkali metal-promoted (K or Cs) vanadium pentoxide (V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) on silica support

#### Key Selection Factors

- Pressure drop
- Dust capacity
- Ignition & activity

- Strength of SO<sub>2</sub> feed gas
- Plant configuration
   (Single vs double absorption)





**Reference:** "VK Series - Sulphuric Acid Catalysts for Today and for the Future," Product Brochure, Haldor Topsoe, Inc.

### **Typical Process Configurations**



Source: "VK Series - Sulphuric Acid Catalysts for Today and for the Future," Haldor Topsoe, Inc.

### Equilibrium Conversion for SO<sub>2</sub> Oxidation



#### Reaction Kinetics for SO<sub>2</sub> Oxidation

$$-r_{so2} = \frac{k_{1} p_{O2} p_{sO2} \left(1 - \frac{p_{sO3}}{p_{sO2} \sqrt{p_{O2}} K_{P}}\right)}{22.414 \left(1 + K_{2} p_{sO2} + K_{3} p_{sO3}\right)^{2}} \frac{kmol SO_{2}}{kg \ catalyst - hr}$$

1

Hougen-Watson Mechanism; RLS = Adsorbed  $O_2 \& SO_2$ ;  $T = 420 - 590^{\circ}C$ 

**Kinetic Parameters** Molten Salt Chemistry  $k_1 = \exp(12.160 - 5473 / T)$  $K_2SO_4 + SO_3 \longrightarrow K_2S_2O_7$  $K_2 = \exp(-9.953 - 8619 / T)$  $K_2S_2O_7 + V_2O_5 \longrightarrow K_wV_xS_vO_z$  $K_3 = \exp(-71.745 - 52596 / T)$ SO<sub>2</sub> + 2 V<sup>5+</sup> +  $\rightarrow$  SO<sub>3</sub> + 2 V<sup>4+</sup> **O**<sup>2-</sup>  $K_{P} = \exp(11,300 / T - 10.68)$ → O<sup>2-</sup> + 2 V<sup>5+</sup> 1/2 O<sub>2</sub> + 2 V<sup>4+</sup> Units: T [K] p [atm]

#### Reaction Rate vs SO<sub>2</sub> Conversion



#### Constants

Name		Expression			Value	De	scription		
p_503_0	P*y_503_0	Deutiel and ease		1	0				
p_N2_0	P*y_N2_0	Partial pressur	es		0.814				
0	p_SO2_0/Rg/T0_K*10^6	& concentrations			1.37221				
:_02_0	p_02_0/Rg/T0_K*10^6				1.899983				
0	p_SO3_0/Rg/T0_K*10^6	at pellet surfac	e		0				
:_N2_0	p_N2_0/Rg/T0_K*10^6	conditions			14.320242				
۶g	22400/273.15				82.006224	atn	n cc/m		
_eff	7e-4	Effective conductivity			7e-4	cal	/(cm-s-C)		
<_eff_comsol	k_eff*0.239*10^2				0.01673	W/	(m-K)		
го_с	420				420	Celsius			
го_к	T0_C+273.15				693.15	К			
d	exp(12.16-5473/T0_K)	Reaction rate			71.10532				
<2	exp(-9.953+8619/T0_K)				11.959644				
(3	exp(-71.745+52596/T0_K)	constants	constants 6		62.46958				
<p< td=""><td>exp(11300/T0_K-10.68)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>276.548898</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></p<>	exp(11300/T0_K-10.68)				276.548898				
r_502_f_max	k1*p_02_0*p_502_0/(22.414	I*(1+K2*p_502_0+K3*p_503	3_0)^2	2)	0.007153		May	imum	
_502_b_max	k1/Kp*p_O2_0^0.5*p_5O3_0	/(22.414*(1+K2*p_5O2_0+K	3*p_S	03_0)^2)	0		IVIAN		
_SO2_max	r_SO2_f_max-r_SO2_b_max				0.007153		reac	ction rate	
_502_coms	r_502_max*10^6/3600*rho_	cat			2.642731		L		
dhr_410	-98963.2				-98963.2	at	410c J		
dhr_618	-98958.9	Maximum heat	t 🗆		-98958.9	at	618c J		
dhr_avg	(dhr_410+dhr_618)/2	generation rate			-98961.05 J/mol				
Q_rxn_max	dhr_avg*r_SO2_comsol_max				-2.615274e5	J/n	1^3-s		
								-	

**Global Expressions** 

Name		٦		Unit 🛛	Description		
o_502	c_SO2*Rg*T0_K/10^6	Partial pressures		mo	l/m <sup>3</sup>		
0_02	c_02*Rg*T0_K/10^6	at pellet surface		mo	l/m <sup>3</sup>		
o_503	c_SO3*Rg*T0_K/10^6	to ponot oundoo		mo	l/m <sup>3</sup>		
5_N2	c_N2*Rg*T0_K/10^6	temperature		mo	Úm <sup>3</sup>		
R_502_f	k1*p_02*p_502/(22.414*(1+K2*	*p_502+K3*p_503)^2)		eacti	on	rato	
R_502_b	k1/Kp*p_02^0.5*p_503/(22.414	*(1+K2*p_502+K3*p_503)^2)					
R_SO2_comsol	R_SO2*10^6/3600*rho_cat			t pelle	et s	urrace	
Q_rxn	dhr_avg*R_SO2_comsol	Heat generation					
R_502	R_S02_f-R_S02_b						
o_SO2_noniso	c_SO2*Rg*T/10^6	Dertial processor		K∍r	nol/m <sup>31</sup>		
o_02_noniso	c_02*Rg*T/10^6	Failiai pressures	Partial pressures				
o_SO3_noniso	c_SO3*Rg*T/10^6	at local temperature K-mol/m <sup>3</sup>					
o_N2_noniso	c_N2*Rg*T/10^6			K (r	nol/m <sup>3</sup>		
_so2_noniso_f	k1*p_02_noniso*p_502_noniso/(	(22,414*(1+K2*p_502_noniso+K3*p_9	503_noi	nis 1			
_so2_noniso_b	k1/Kp*p_02_noniso^0.5*p_503	k1/Kp*p_02_noniso^0.5*p_503_noniso/(22.414*(1+K2*p_502_noniso+K3*p_50 Reaction rate					
_so2_noniso	r_so2_noniso_f-r_so2_noniso_b	r_so2_noniso_f-r_so2_noniso_b					
_so2_noniso_coms	iol r_so2_noniso*10^6/3600*rho_ca	it			u	ponor	<u>, 0</u> ,
•	1						
<u> </u>						<b>&gt;</b>	

### Subdomain Settings -Diffusion Model-

Subdomain Settings - Diffusi Equation ∇·(-D∇c_SO2) = R, c_SO2 =	C E ii	Calculated Using Wilke Equation for Diffusion in Gas Mixtures		
Subdomains Groups Subdomain selection	c_SO2 c_O2 c_S Species 1 Library material:	03   c_N2   Init   f	Element Color	
	Quantity C D isotropic C D anisotropic R	Value/Expressi 1.08067E-05 1001 -R_502_comsol	ion Unit m <sup>2</sup> /s m <sup>2</sup> /s mol/(m <sup>3</sup> ·s	Description Diffusion coefficient Diffusion coefficient ) Reaction rate
Group:		******	Reaction global ex	rate from pressions
		ОК	Cancel	Apply Help

Repeat for each Specie

### Boundary Settings -Diffusion Model-

Boundary Settings - Diffusion	n (chdi)		•		
Equation c_502 = c_502 <sub>0</sub>		Specife pellet su account	d conc urface; for fin	entration Can als ite resis	n at the so tance
Boundaries Groups	c_502 c_02 c_503	c_N2 Color/Style			
Boundary selection	Boundary conditions-				
	Boundary condition:	Concentration	-		
	Quantity	Value/Expression	Unit	Description	
4	c_SO2 <sub>0</sub>	c_502_0	mol/m <sup>3</sup>	Concentration	·
5	N <sub>0</sub>	0	mol/(m <sup>2</sup> ·s)	Inward flux	
6	k <sub>c</sub>	0	m/s	Mass transfer	coefficient
	сь	0	mol/m <sup>3</sup>	Bulk concentra	ation
Group:			·		
Select by group					
Interior boundaries					
		ОК	Cancel	Apply	Help

- Repeat for each Specie
- Repeat for each Boundary

## Subdomain Settings -Steady State Heat Conduction Model-

Sub	domain Settings - Heat T	ransfer by Conducti	on (ht)				
Equation $-\nabla \cdot (k \nabla T) = Q + h_{trans}(T_{ext}-T) + C_{trans}(T_{ambtrans}^4 - T^4), T = temperature$ Subdomains Groups Physics Init Element Color			Effective catalyst pellet conductivity taken from published literature				
 [2	Subdomain selection	-Thermal properties a	and heat sources/sinks	·	•		
	1	Library material:	•	Load			
		Quantity	Value/Expression	Unit	Description		
		<ul> <li>k (isotropic)</li> </ul>	k_eff_comsol	W/(m→K)	Thermal conductivity		
		C k (anisotropic)	400 0 0 400	W/(m+K)	Thermal conductivity		
		ρ	8700	kg/m <sup>3</sup>	Density	Heat g	eneration
		C <sub>p</sub>	385	J/(kg+K)	Heat capacity	due to	reaction
	<b>_</b>	Q	-Q_rxn	W/m <sup>3</sup>	Heat source 🔺 🔭		
		h <sub>trans</sub>	0	W/(m <sup>3</sup> ·K)	Convective heat transfer	r coefficient	
		T <sub>ext</sub>	0	К	External temperature		
	Select by group	C <sub>trans</sub>	0	W/(m <sup>3</sup> ·K <sup>4</sup> )	) User-defined constant		
	Active in this domain	T <sub>ambtrans</sub>	0	к	Ambient temperature		
OK Cancel Apply Help							

Repeat for each Specie

### Boundary Settings -Steady State Heat Conduction Model-

Equation T = T <sub>0</sub> Boundaries Groups	Coefficients Color/5	n (ht) S pe ac tyle re	Specifed temperature at the pellet surface; Can also account for finite heat transfer resistance at pellet surface			
Boundary selection	Boundary sources ar Boundary condition: <b>Quantity</b> q <sub>0</sub> h T <sub>inf</sub> Const T <sub>amb</sub> T <sub>0</sub>	Temperature Value/Expres 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ssion Unit W/m <sup>2</sup> W/(m <sup>2</sup> ·K) K W/(m <sup>2</sup> ·K <sup>4</sup> ) K K	<b>Description</b> Inward heat flux Heat transfer coefficient External temperature Problem-dependent constant Ambient temperature Temperature		
		0	K Cancel	Apply Help		

Repeat for each Boundary

#### Comsol Setup - Nonisothermal Slab



## Conc. Profiles - Nonisothermal Slab



0.6

0.4

0.2

0

1

SO<sub>2</sub>

2

3

4

5

7

6

8

x10<sup>-3</sup>





#### **Slab Pellet Temperature Profiles**





#### Comsol Setup -Nonisothermal Hollow Cylinder-



#### Conc Profiles - Isothermal Hollow Cylinder







#### Conc. Profiles - Nonisothermal Hollow Cylinder

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Comsol Setup -Nonisothermal Solid Cylinder-

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Conc. Profiles - Nonisothermal Solid Cylinder

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Solid Cylinder Temperature Profiles

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Comsol Setup -Nonisothermal Daisy-

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Mesh Generation**

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Surface Concentration Plot for SO<sub>2</sub>

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Conc. Profiles - Nonisothermal Daisy

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **Daisy Pellet Temperature Profiles**

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **Effectiveness Factor Comparison**

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

	Effectiveness Factors							
T, °C	20 mm Hollow	12 mm Daisy	10 mm Hollow	6 mm Solid				
420	0.363	0.449	0.589	0.592				
475	0.387	0.498	0.684	0.679				
500	0.300	0.393	0.562	0.565				
590	0.146	0.193	0.283	0.302				

#### Summary

 COMSOL Multiphysics provides attractive approach for modeling impact of catalyst particle shape on catalyst effectiveness factors for SO<sub>2</sub> oxidation.

#### Suggested Areas for Improvement

- Need more detailed algorithm for rates forms with fractional orders since C = 0 for x<x\* which gives errors</li>
- Techniques for investigating presence of multiple solutions, which are present in many problems, would allow detailed parametric analysis and identification of stability regions.
- Provide user with more flexible choices, such as molar flux models (*e.g.*, Dusty-gas model), particle shape library, transport parameter library, etc.