Xylophone Bar Magnetometry and Inertial Grade MEMS Optimisation

A Multiphysics Approach

by Harry T.D. Grigg and Barry J. Gallacher Microsystems Group

Newcastle University

- MEMS and microsystems research group with world-leading expertise in dynamics and control, biosensors
- Based in the North East of England
- A major aim is to demonstrate the feasibility of inertial grade navigation, similar to aviation-grade ILS, using an integrated microsensor array – the 9 DOF "holy grail"
- Consistent publications and current EPSRC sponsored work on high-Q resonant sensors and associated parametric control techniques
- See eg. Gallacher et al., *Sens.Act.A*, 2010 for work on high-precision MEMS ring gyros
- The work presented here today is a subset of my doctoral research
- My work is focused on developing a resonant Lorenz micromagnetometer
- In an inertial navigation context, would be employed in a Kalmann filter to implement drift nulling



Who are we? What do we do? What is this research about?

- An XBM is a resonant high sensitivity Lorenz magnetometer
- When a DC sense current is applied, any B field component transverse to the plane of vibration generates a Lorenz force and corresponding deflection of the structure
- When the sense current is made to oscillate at the resonant frequency of a chosen mode of the XBR structure, the static deflection is amplified by the Q factor of the mode
 - Differs from other Lorenz magnetometers in that the suspension beams are attached at the node points of the sense beam, decoupling transverse motion between the two
 - This gives extremely high Q factors and hence sensitivities
 - Q factor can be pushed higher using parametric drive techniques

What is a Xylophone Bar Magnetometer? Why should I care?



XBR Operation

- •Quantitatively expresses dependence of device sensitivity on Q, static compliance
 - •Experimental work gives the achievable limiting parametric gain at ~100
- Implies a classical resonator Q of 10^4 would yield an effective parametric Q on order 10^6 without affecting compliance



XBM control

Need an understanding of Q factor and dissipation mechanisms to optimise design
Gas damping, surface losses, TED, etc. well charaterised in the literature.
TED sets a hard limit on Q at ~ 10⁶
No such results exist for support loss at present in the literature

• Model the support losses in COMSOL.

- •Use a Rayleigh-Ritz method to obtain the forces of constraint at the distal ends of the XBR support beams
- •Use 2D analytical model of elastic wave radiation in a semi-infinite plane to estimate corresponding support radiation

• Cross-validate simulation and analytical results

 $A_0 = \frac{iF\omega}{2} \left[Q \times G_T \right]$



How can we maximise the performance of an XBM?

Model I: Joule Heating

XBR uses an AC sense current to generate Lorenz force

- Scaled with field strength to give wide dynamic range
- The larger the current, the smaller a field can be detected

The sense current amplitude is limited by Joule Heating of the resonator

Under vacuum, only radiation and conduction important Use Joule Heating model, Stationary study type, to find steady state temperature distribution

- Surface to surface radiation ignored
- Thermal BCs specify radiation to ambient environment and a prescribed temperature on the distal ends of the supports
- Electrical BCs specify a potential at the distal ends of the supports parametrically, with the symmetry boundary taken as a ground

 $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{J} = Q_j ; \boldsymbol{J} = \sigma \boldsymbol{E} + \boldsymbol{J}_{\boldsymbol{E}}$

 $E = -\nabla V ; \rho C_p u \nabla T = \nabla \cdot (k \nabla T) + Q$



Model III: PML

0.4

-0.2

-0.4

freq(3)=30000 Total displacement (m) Surface Surface Deformation: Displacement field

д

 $\frac{\partial x}{\partial x} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} \frac{1 + i\sigma(x)}{\omega \partial x}$

Perfectly Matched Layers are a numerical technique used to simulate infinite domains

▲ 1.712×10⁻¹

×10⁻¹³

1.2

0.8

0.6

0.4

 Best viewed as an analytical continuation of the constitutive equations to the complex plane

- Simulates energy loss to the substrate
- Mechanism of support loss is elastic wave radiation
- PML allows numerical closure and hence simulation

Model solved using Frequency Domain study

- Resonant frequency of the XBR and force distribution at the support interfaces used as model inputs
- Separate geometric model employed

Multiphysics Handling and Solver Flow



F3: Job sequence for coupled multiphysics analysis of XBR support loss. This sequence was iterated in a 2-parameter parametric array.

Geometry modelled parametrically in COMSOL

- The steady-state temperature distribution deriving from an applied sense current and Joule heating studied first
 - The above output was combined with an experimental result from the literature was used to define a variable Young's modulus and density for the resonator material
- Mode shapes and natural frequencies found using a linear elastic eigenfrequency analysis with material properties defined by the previous result
 - Constraint forces found -> PML model input
 - In addition, the stored strain energy in the resonator was determined using a volume integration probe(Result 1).
- The constraint forces were then coupled to a PML model approximating the resonator substrate as large (and hence entirely dissipative).
 - The total energy flux arising from elastic wave propagation into the substrate could thus be determined (Result 2).
- Combining results 1 and 2 yields an estimate for the device Q factor, as desired.



Q vs Geometry

Q ('000) vs Parameters



Prototypes and Progress

- Two prototypes to date
- Characterised optically and acousticaly
- **Q** around 10 000 at 1 bar!!
- Results of the present study suggest thermal limitation of performance
- As a result, a third prototype is under production in copper – superior heat dissipation

Conclusions

Further Work

- Support loss, natural frequencies, and static compliance efficiently modelled using COMSOL
 - Analytical work cross-validated against the results with satisfactory agreement for the case without heating effects
 - Model predictions extended using coupled analysis to include heating
- Leads to adjustment of the optimal geometric tuning for an XBR

□ Improved performance in the real world

 Complete prototyping, obtain experimental validation of modelling => Proof of concept

- Obtain funding, make the device on the microscale
- Analytically model heating effects – finite difference method?

Model Parametric Drive

Q factor under vacuum implies inertial-grade performance possible

 Realise the magnetic component of 9-DOF IMU

- Many thanks for listening to my talk!
- My enduring gratitude to Barry Gallacher and NU Microsystems.
- This work would not have been possible without financial support from the EPSRC.
- Finally, thanks to COMSOL for the awesome software and the opportunity to present my work

Thanks for your attention!

Any Questions?